

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,376	<u> </u>	11/14/2001	Mark M. Wang	265/083	1411	
34263	7590	09/17/2003				
O'MELVE			EXAMINER			
114 PACIFI IRVINE, CA		E 100		WEBER, JON P		
			•	ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
				1651 DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	141
Office Action Commence	09/993,376	WANG ET AL.	#10
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jon P Weber, Ph.D.	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	si6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this cor	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims			e merits is
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· .		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers	cicolori requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	1		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	Stage
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.	,
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Application/Control Number: 09/993,376

Art Unit: 1651

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-4 have been presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, and as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: analysis steps, and steps relating illuminating and separating for example. There is no clear relationship between the steps provided and the stated purpose in the preamble of the claim or each other. The claims are so incomplete and confusing that no discernable method can be obtained: for example, terms are introduced in the latter part of the claim without antecedent basis; the nature of desired parameters is not set forth; and the manner of the use of the spectral response is not indicated.

The language of a claim must make it clear what subject matter the claim encompasses to adequately delineate its "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA 1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Goffe, 526 F 2d. 1393, 1397, 188 USPQ 131, 135 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973).

Application/Control Number: 09/993,376

Art Unit: 1651

The courts have also indicated that before claimed subject matter can properly be compared to the prior art, it is essential to know what the claims do in fact cover. See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Steele, 305 F 2d. 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); In re Moore 439 F 2d. 1232, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1969); In re Merat, 519 F 2d. 1390, 186 USPQ 471 (CCPA 1975).

In the instant case, the claimed invention is so incompletely set forth that no reasonable examination or search can be performed. Further, and for the same reasons, the Information Disclosures filed 05 March 2002, 28 October 2002 and 28 May 2002 will not be considered at this time.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon P Weber, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-4015. The examiner can normally be reached on daily, off 1st Fri, 9/5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is \$\703\308-0196\$.

> Jon P Weber, Ph.D. **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1651

JPW